

On the rational approximations to e

Takeshi Okano

We have some consequences on the rational approximations to e .
In (2), P. Bundschuh has proved the following theorem.

Bundschuh's Theorem. *For all integers p, q such that $q > 0$,*

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{\log \log 4q}{18q^2 \log 4q}.$$

In (3), C. S. Davis has proved the following definitive theorem for integers p, q such that $|p|, q$ were sufficiently large.

Davis' Theorem. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an infinity of solutions of the inequality*

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \right) \frac{\log \log q}{q^2 \log q}$$

in integers p, q . Further, there exists a number $q' = q'(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \right) \frac{\log \log q}{q^2 \log q}$$

for all integers p, q with $q \geq q'$.

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem that is generalization of Bundschuh's Theorem.

Theorem. *Let p, q be positive integers.*

Let $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ be the n -th convergent of e . Then

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{\log \log q}{r_N q^2 \log q}$$

for all integers p, q such that $q \geq q_{3N+1}$ ($N \geq 9$), where r_N is any constant such that

$$r_N > (2 + 5/(N - 1/2)) \left(1 + \frac{\log \log (2(2N + 3)/e)}{\log (N + 3/2)} \right).$$

Proof. If p/q is not a convergent of e , then

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{1}{2q^2}.$$

Therefore, the theorem is proved in this case.

We must consider the case that p/q is a convergent of e .

The continued fraction of e is

$$\begin{aligned} e &= [a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] \\ &= [2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $a_0=2$, and for $m \geq 1$,

$$a_{3m} = a_{3m-2} = 1 \text{ and } a_{3m-1} = 2m.$$

Case 1. $n=3m$ ($m \geq N$)

Since $q_{3m+1} = a_{3m+1}q_{3m} + q_{3m-1} = q_{3m} + q_{3m-1}$, we have

$$\left| e - \frac{p_{3m}}{q_{3m}} \right| > \frac{1}{q_{3m}(q_{3m+1} + q_{3m})} = \frac{1}{q_{3m}(2q_{3m} + q_{3m-1})} > \frac{1}{3q_{3m}^2}$$

As we can see that $\log \log x / \log x$ is monotone decreasing for $x \geq 16$, we have

$$\frac{\log \log q_{3m}}{\log q_{3m}} \leq \frac{\log \log q_{27}}{\log q_{27}} = 0.1251198 \dots < \frac{1}{3},$$

therefore

$$\left| e - \frac{p_{3m}}{q_{3m}} \right| > \frac{\log \log q_{3m}}{q_{3m}^2 \log q_{3m}}.$$

Now we have proved the theorem in this case.

Case 2. $n=3m+1$ ($m \geq N$)

Since $q_{3m+2} = a_{3m+2}q_{3m+1} + q_{3m} = 2(m+1)q_{3m+1} + q_{3m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e - \frac{p_{3m+1}}{q_{3m+1}} \right| &> \frac{1}{q_{3m+1}(q_{3m+2} + q_{3m+1})} = \frac{1}{q_{3m+1}((2m+3)q_{3m+1} + q_{3m})} \\ &> \frac{1}{2(m+2)q_{3m+1}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we must estimate q_{3m+1} .

Since $q_{3m+1} \geq 2(2m+1)q_{3m-2} \geq 2^2(2m+1)(2m-1)q_{3m-5} \geq \dots$
 $\geq 2^m(2m+1)(2m-1) \dots \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_{3m+1} &\geq m \log 2 + \sum_{k=1}^m \log(2k+1) \geq m \log 2 + \int_1^{m-1} \log(2x+1) dx \\ &= m \log 2 + (m-1/2) \log(2m-1) - (m-2) - (3/2) \log 3 \\ &\geq (m-1/2) (\log 2 - 1) + \log(2m-1) = (m-1/2) \log(2(2m-1)/e) \\ &\geq (m-1/2) \log(m+3/2) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$q_{3m+1} \leq 2^m (2m+1) (2m-1) \cdots \cdots 5 \cdot 3 \prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{4(2k+1)(2k-1)} \right).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{4(2k+1)(2k-1)} \right) &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12k^2} \right) = \frac{\sinh(\pi/\sqrt{12})}{(\pi/\sqrt{12})} \\ &= 1.1428 \cdots < 2. \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$q_{3m+1} \leq 2^{m+1} (2m+1) (2m-1) \cdots \cdots 5 \cdot 3.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_{3m+1} &\leq (m+1) \log 2 + \sum_{k=1}^m \log(2k+1) \\ &\leq (m+1) \log 2 + \int_1^{m+1} \log(2x+1) dx \\ &= (m+1) \log 2 + (m+3/2) \log(2m+3) - m - (3/2) \log 3 \\ &\leq (m+3/2) ((\log 2 - 1) + \log(2m+3)) \\ &= (m+3/2) \log(2(2m+3)/e), \\ \log \log q_{3m+1} &\leq \log(m+3/2) + \log \log(2(2m+3)/e). \end{aligned}$$

As we can see that $L(x) = \frac{\log \log(2(2x+3)/e)}{\log(x+3/2)}$ is monotone

decreasing for $x \geq 9$. we have

$$\log \log q_{3m+1} \leq (1+L(N)) \log(m+3/2).$$

From these consequences, we find out

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \log q_{3m+1}}{\log q_{3m+1}} &\leq \frac{1+L(N)}{m-1/2} = (2+5/(m-1/2)) (1+L(N)) \frac{1}{2(m+2)} \\ &\leq (2+5/(N-1/2)) \left(1 + \frac{\log \log(2(2N+3)/e)}{\log(N+3/2)} \right) \frac{1}{2(m+2)} \\ &< \frac{\gamma_N}{2(m+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| e - \frac{p_{3m+1}}{q_{3m+1}} \right| > \frac{\log \log q_{3m+1}}{\gamma_N q_{3m+1}^2 \log q_{3m+1}}.$$

Now we have proved the theorem in this case.

Case 3. $n=3m+2$ ($m \geq N$)

We can prove the theorem in this case similarly in the case 1.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary. Let p, q be positive integers such that $q \geq 2$. Then

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{\log \log q}{3q^2 \log q}.$$

Proof. It is suffice only to consider that p/q is a $(3m+1)$ -th convergent of e . If $N=32$, then

$$(2+5/(N-1/2)) \left(1 + \frac{\log \log (2(2N+3)/e)}{\log(N+3/2)} \right) = 2.99505 \dots$$

Hence we can define γ_{32} as follows.

$$\gamma_{32} = 3$$

From the theorem, for all positive integers p, q such that $q \geq q_{3m+1}$ ($m \geq 32$),

$$\left| e - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{\log \log q}{3q^2 \log q}$$

We define δ_m as follows.

$$\left| e - \frac{p_{3m+1}}{q_{3m+1}} \right| > \frac{1}{2(m+2)q_{3m+1}^2} = \frac{\log \log q_{3m+1}}{\delta_m q_{3m+1}^2 \log q_{3m+1}}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \delta_m = \frac{2(m+2) \log \log q_{3m+1}}{\log q_{3m+1}}$$

We show that $\delta_m \leq 3$ for $m \leq 31$.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= 2.05 \dots, & \delta_2 &= 2.72 \dots, & \delta_3 &= 2.79 \dots, & \delta_4 &= 2.79 \dots, \\ \delta_5 &= 2.77 \dots, & \delta_6 &= 2.75 \dots, & \delta_7 &= 2.73 \dots, & \delta_8 &= 2.71 \dots, \\ \delta_9 &= 2.70 \dots, & \delta_{10} &= 2.69 \dots, & \delta_{11} &= 2.67 \dots, & \delta_{12} &= 2.66 \dots, \\ \delta_{13} &= 2.65 \dots, & \delta_{14} &= 2.65 \dots, & \delta_{15} &= 2.64 \dots, & \delta_{16} &= 2.64 \dots, \\ \delta_{17} &= 2.63 \dots, & \delta_{18} &= 2.63 \dots, & \delta_{19} &= 2.62 \dots, & \delta_{20} &= 2.62 \dots, \\ \delta_{21} &= 2.62 \dots, & \delta_{22} &= 2.61 \dots, & \delta_{23} &= 2.61 \dots, & \delta_{24} &= 2.61 \dots, \\ \delta_{25} &= 2.60 \dots, & \delta_{26} &= 2.60 \dots, & \delta_{27} &= 2.60 \dots, & \delta_{28} &= 2.60 \dots, \\ \delta_{29} &= 2.59 \dots, & \delta_{30} &= 2.59 \dots, & \delta_{31} &= 2.59 \dots : \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- (1) S. Lang, Introduction to diophantine approximations, Addison-Wesley (1966).
- (2) P. Bundschuh, Irrationalitätsmaße für e^a , $a \neq 0$ rational order Liouville-Zahl, Math. Ann. **192** (1971), 229-242.
- (3) C.S. Davis, Rational approximations to e , J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **25** (1978), 497-502.